



Rick Scott <rick.scott@lacity.org>

Venice Beach BID petitions: 4/27/16

2 messages

Wed, Apr 27, 2016 at 4:57 PM

Tara Devine <tara@devine-strategies.com>
 To: Mario Montez <mario.montez@lacity.org>
 Cc: Miranda Paster <Miranda.Paster@lacity.org>, Rick Scott <Rick.Scott@lacity.org>

Dear Mario:

- Attached please find the first petitions for Venice (3 in one file.)
- Unless you request otherwise, I'll batch and send these daily (except days when none are received.)
- When we get down towards the final finish line, I may send them as received.

Miranda & Rick - just FYI.

- I won't cc you on future petitions until we're approaching the finish line (so you're aware we're nearly complete.)

Thanks all!

Warmest regards,

TARA DEVINE
 DEVINE STRATEGIES
 645 West Ninth St.,#110-293
 Los Angeles, CA 90015
 310.430.5121
tara@devine-strategies.com

Making it easier for you with STRATEGIC CONSULTING SERVICES
Planning & Entitlements - Political & Community Outreach - Business Improvement Districts

 **SOKOL.pdf**
 974K

Rick Scott <rick.scott@lacity.org>
 To: Tara Devine <tara@devine-strategies.com>
 Cc: Mario Montez <mario.montez@lacity.org>, Miranda Paster <Miranda.Paster@lacity.org>, Dennis Rader <dennis.rader@lacity.org>, Rosemary Hinkson <rosemary.hinkson@lacity.org>

Thu, Apr 28, 2016 at 6:26 AM

Okay. Only 47.91% to go!

On Wed, Apr 27, 2016 at 4:57 PM, Tara Devine <tara@devine-strategies.com> wrote:

Dear Mario:

- Attached please find the first petitions for Venice (3 in one file.)
- Unless you request otherwise, I'll batch and send these daily (except days when none are received.)
- When we get down towards the final finish line, I may send them as received.

Miranda & Rick - just FYI.

- I won't cc you on future petitions until we're approaching the finish line (so you're aware we're nearly complete.)

Thanks all!

Warmest regards,

TARA DEVINE
 DEVINE STRATEGIES

645 West Ninth St.,#110-293
Los Angeles, CA 90015
310.430.5121
tara@devine-strategies.com

Making it easier for you with STRATEGIC CONSULTING SERVICES
Planning & Entitlements - Political & Community Outreach - Business Improvement Districts

--
Rick Scott
Neighborhood and Business Improvement District Division
Office of the City Clerk
213.978.1121 direct
213.978.1099 main
Fax 213.978.1130
Rick.Scott@lacity.org



Rick Scott <rick.scott@lacity.org>

Fwd: Revised Venice Beach BID database

4 messages

Tara Devine <tara@devine-strategies.com>

Fri, Jun 10, 2016 at 1:07 PM

To: Rita Moreno <Rita.Moreno@lacity.org>, Rick Scott <Rick.Scott@lacity.org>

This is the same as the last email; it's just a little easier to read/review the changes as they are consolidated.
(P.S. Tyler is an analyst who does some of the work on my databases. I do some of the work as well.)

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Tara Devine <tara@devine-strategies.com>

Date: Thu, Jun 9, 2016 at 6:19 PM

Subject: Revised Venice Beach BID database

To: Garen Yegparian <garen.yegparian@lacity.org>, Dennis Rader <Dennis.Rader@lacity.org>

I know I sometimes struggle to keep track of these documents and versions, so just to make it easier, here is the most current version again. As you may recall, Tyler submitted a bunch on changes on 5/23, and I made one more the following day (5/24.)

Tyler's notes on his changes (5/23):

Attached please find an updated database for Venice Beach BID. The changes are reflected in the first tab labeled as VB Assessments-Updated, and the second tab labeled as VB Assessments-Original is there as a reference.

I made changes to the following APNs:

- 4286009091 - Added bldg sf based on assessor's map, but County Assessor's website doesn't have any bldg sf.
- 4286009092 - Added bldg sf based on assessor's map, but County Assessor's website doesn't have any bldg sf.
- 4286012041 - Split the APN to two (4286012044 & 4286012045) and deleted the 041 as County has updated the APN
- 4286028021 - Reduced bldg sf by 100, and reduced frontage to 64 and lot sf to 2979 due to commercial space in the same bldg
- 4286028022 - Reduced frontage to 64 and lot sf to 2979 due to a commercial space in the same bldg
- 4286028023 - Reduced frontage to 64 and lot sf to 2979 due to a commercial space in the same bldg
- 4286028024 - Reduced frontage to 64 and lot sf to 2979 due to a commercial space in the same bldg
- 4286028026 - Reduced frontage to 47 and lot sf to 2598 due to a commercial space in the same bldg
- 4286028027 - Reduced frontage to 47 and lot sf to 2598 due to a commercial space in the same bldg
- 4286028028 - Reduced frontage to 47 and lot sf to 2598 due to a commercial space in the same bldg
- 4286028029 - Reduced frontage to 47 and lot sf to 2598 due to a commercial space in the same bldg
- 4286028030 - Reduced frontage to 47 and lot sf to 2598 due to a commercial space in the same bldg
- 4286028031 - Reduced frontage to 47 and lot sf to 2598 due to a commercial space in the same bldg

The changes will decrease the total assessment by \$4,904.61 which is approximately 0.26% change.

My notes on my change (5/24):

- 4286008001- We have removed 187 from the F-commercial column (AS) as it is duplicative. The correct frontage of 186.82 remains in the F-residential column (AV.) The very first database was correct; we believe that somewhere during review/revisions that the frontage was accidentally added in again in the commercial column, resulting in a double charge for frontage. We reviewed other parcels looking for duplicate frontage; we found the error was isolated to this APN.

This results in that APN's assessment being reduced from \$5859.49 to \$3,150.60 and a corresponding reduction in the total budget.


Total change to budget during petition stage: -\$7,613.50

Warmest regards,

TARA DEVINE

DEVINE STRATEGIES
 645 West Ninth St., #110-293
 Los Angeles, CA 90015
 310.430.5121
tara@devine-strategies.com

Making it easier for you with STRATEGIC CONSULTING SERVICES
Planning & Entitlements - Political & Community Outreach - Business Improvement Districts

 **2016.05.24 Venice database submitted to City - petition stage revisions.xlsx**
 494K

Rita Moreno <rita.moreno@lacity.org>
 To: Tara Devine <tara@devine-strategies.com>
 Cc: Rick Scott <Rick.Scott@lacity.org>

Fri, Jun 10, 2016 at 1:14 PM

Okay, so this is the "final" one that we need to get back to you on, correct?

On Fri, Jun 10, 2016 at 1:07 PM, Tara Devine <tara@devine-strategies.com> wrote:

This is the same as the last email; it's just a little easier to read/review the changes as they are consolidated.
 (P.S. Tyler is an analyst who does some of the work on my databases. I do some of the work as well.)

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Tara Devine** <tara@devine-strategies.com>
 Date: Thu, Jun 9, 2016 at 6:19 PM
 Subject: Revised Venice Beach BID database
 To: Garen Yegparian <garen.yegparian@lacity.org>, Dennis Rader <Dennis.Rader@lacity.org>

I know I sometimes struggle to keep track of these documents and versions, so just to make it easier, here is the most current version again. As you may recall, Tyler submitted a bunch on changes on 5/23, and I made one more the following day (5/24.)

Tyler's notes on his changes (5/23):

Attached please find an updated database for Venice Beach BID. The changes are reflected in the first tab labeled as VB Assessments-Updated, and the second tab labeled as VB Assessments-Original is there as a reference.

I made changes to the following APNs:

- 4286009091 - Added bldg sf based on assessor's map, but County Assessor's website doesn't have any bldg sf.
- 4286009092 - Added bldg sf based on assessor's map, but County Assessor's website doesn't have any bldg sf.
- 4286012041 - Split the APN to two (4286012044 & 4286012045) and deleted the 041 as County has updated the APN
- 4286028021 - Reduced bldg sf by 100, and reduced frontage to 64 and lot sf to 2979 due to commercial space in the same bldg
- 4286028022 - Reduced frontage to 64 and lot sf to 2979 due to a commercial space in the same bldg
- 4286028023 - Reduced frontage to 64 and lot sf to 2979 due to a commercial space in the same bldg
- 4286028024 - Reduced frontage to 64 and lot sf to 2979 due to a commercial space in the same bldg
- 4286028026 - Reduced frontage to 47 and lot sf to 2598 due to a commercial space in the same bldg
- 4286028027 - Reduced frontage to 47 and lot sf to 2598 due to a commercial space in the same bldg
- 4286028028 - Reduced frontage to 47 and lot sf to 2598 due to a commercial space in the same bldg
- 4286028029 - Reduced frontage to 47 and lot sf to 2598 due to a commercial space in the same bldg
- 4286028030 - Reduced frontage to 47 and lot sf to 2598 due to a commercial space in the same bldg
- 4286028031 - Reduced frontage to 47 and lot sf to 2598 due to a commercial space in the same bldg

The changes will decrease the total assessment by \$4,904.61 which is approximately 0.26% change.

My notes on my change (5/24):

- 4286008001- We have removed 187 from the F-commercial column (AS) as it is duplicative. The correct frontage of 186.82 remains in the F-residential column (AV.) The very first database was correct; we believe that somewhere during review/revisions that the frontage was accidentally added in again in the commercial column, resulting in a double charge for frontage. We reviewed other parcels looking for duplicate frontage; we found the error was isolated to this APN.

This results in that APN's assessment being reduced from \$5859.49 to \$3,150.60 and a corresponding reduction in the total budget.

Total change to budget during petition stage: -\$7,613.50

Warmest regards,

TARA DEVINE
 DEVINE STRATEGIES
 645 West Ninth St.,#110-293
 Los Angeles, CA 90015
 310.430.5121
tara@devine-strategies.com

Making it easier for you with STRATEGIC CONSULTING SERVICES
Planning & Entitlements - Political & Community Outreach - Business Improvement Districts

--

Rita Moreno
 City of Los Angeles
 Office of the City Clerk
 Neighborhood and Business Improvement District Division
 200 N. Spring Street, 2nd Floor #237
 Los Angeles, CA 90012
 Office (213) 978-1122
 Fax (213) 978-1130

Tara Devine <tara@devine-strategies.com>
 To: Rita Moreno <rita.moreno@lacity.org>
 Cc: Rick Scott <Rick.Scott@lacity.org>

Fri, Jun 10, 2016 at 1:29 PM

Yes. To be hyper-precise...final for now. Technically these things are never truly final, because parcel changes can occur or come to our attention at any time.

But unless something new comes up between now and the issuance of ballots, this should be the "final."

We are not aware of any additional changes at this time, so unless an owner makes us aware of another one before the OOI, this is as "final" as it can be.

Every change to any # in the database basically means we have to replace every single number and table in the MDP and ER...that is why it would be helpful to have Garen/Dennis okay these changes before we update the MDP/ER. (We'll need the revised MDP/ER ready to get the OOI scheduled.)

Petitions coming in strongly; I believe we could be 28% or better by the end of today, although Mario's tally may lag mine a little as he has to verify them. In addition, we have a handful that require information, documentation or re-execution to be validated (at least a half-percent that are provisional for now), and quite a few more new ones (including a very large owner) expected soon. The parcel changes I've asked Dennis/Garen to verify also include that large owner, so their review/okay will also help to make sure that those petitions can be verified promptly rather than sit in provisional limbo.

Thanks for your help!

On Fri, Jun 10, 2016 at 1:14 PM, Rita Moreno <rita.moreno@lacity.org> wrote:
 Okay, so this is the "final" one that we need to get back to you on, correct?

On Fri, Jun 10, 2016 at 1:07 PM, Tara Devine <tara@devine-strategies.com> wrote:
 This is the same as the last email; it's just a little easier to read/review the changes as they are consolidated.
 (P.S. Tyler is an analyst who does some of the work on my databases. I do some of the work as well.)

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Tara Devine** <tara@devine-strategies.com>

Date: Thu, Jun 9, 2016 at 6:19 PM

Subject: Revised Venice Beach BID database

To: Garen Yegparian <garen.yegparian@lacity.org>, Dennis Rader <Dennis.Rader@lacity.org>

I know I sometimes struggle to keep track of these documents and versions, so just to make it easier, here is the most current version again. As you may recall, Tyler submitted a bunch on changes on 5/23, and I made one more the following day (5/24.)

Tyler's notes on his changes (5/23):

Attached please find an updated database for Venice Beach BID. The changes are reflected in the first tab labeled as VB Assessments-Updated, and the second tab labeled as VB Assessments-Original is there as a reference.

I made changes to the following APNs:

- 4286009091 - Added bldg sf based on assessor's map, but County Assessor's website doesn't have any bldg sf.
- 4286009092 - Added bldg sf based on assessor's map, but County Assessor's website doesn't have any bldg sf.
- 4286012041 - Split the APN to two (4286012044 & 4286012045) and deleted the 041 as County has updated the APN
- 4286028021 - Reduced bldg sf by 100, and reduced frontage to 64 and lot sf to 2979 due to commercial space in the same bldg
- 4286028022 - Reduced frontage to 64 and lot sf to 2979 due to a commercial space in the same bldg
- 4286028023 - Reduced frontage to 64 and lot sf to 2979 due to a commercial space in the same bldg
- 4286028024 - Reduced frontage to 64 and lot sf to 2979 due to a commercial space in the same bldg
- 4286028026 - Reduced frontage to 47 and lot sf to 2598 due to a commercial space in the same bldg
- 4286028027 - Reduced frontage to 47 and lot sf to 2598 due to a commercial space in the same bldg
- 4286028028 - Reduced frontage to 47 and lot sf to 2598 due to a commercial space in the same bldg
- 4286028029 - Reduced frontage to 47 and lot sf to 2598 due to a commercial space in the same bldg
- 4286028030 - Reduced frontage to 47 and lot sf to 2598 due to a commercial space in the same bldg
- 4286028031 - Reduced frontage to 47 and lot sf to 2598 due to a commercial space in the same bldg

The changes will decrease the total assessment by \$4,904.61 which is approximately 0.26% change.

My notes on my change (5/24):

- 4286008001- We have removed 187 from the F-commercial column (AS) as it is duplicative. The correct frontage of 186.82 remains in the F-residential column (AV.) The very first database was correct; we believe that somewhere during review/revisions that the frontage was accidentally added in again in the commercial column, resulting in a double charge for frontage. We reviewed other parcels looking for duplicate frontage; we found the error was isolated to this APN.

This results in that APN's assessment being reduced from \$5859.49 to \$3,150.60 and a corresponding reduction in the total budget.

Total change to budget during petition stage: -\$7,613.50

Warmest regards,

TARA DEVINE

DEVINE STRATEGIES

645 West Ninth St., #110-293

Los Angeles, CA 90015

310.430.5121

tara@devine-strategies.com

Making it easier for you with STRATEGIC CONSULTING SERVICES

Planning & Entitlements - Political & Community Outreach - Business Improvement Districts

--
 Rita Moreno
 City of Los Angeles
 Office of the City Clerk
 Neighborhood and Business Improvement District Division
 200 N. Spring Street, 2nd Floor #237
 Los Angeles, CA 90012
 Office (213) 978-1122
 Fax (213) 978-1130

Fri, Jun 10, 2016 at 1:38 PM

Rita Moreno <rita.moreno@lacity.org>
 To: Tara Devine <tara@devine-strategies.com>
 Cc: Rick Scott <Rick.Scott@lacity.org>

Got it. Will follow up with Dennis and Garens to determine status.

On Fri, Jun 10, 2016 at 1:29 PM, Tara Devine <tara@devine-strategies.com> wrote:

Yes. To be hyper-precise...final for now. Technically these things are never truly final, because parcel changes can occur or come to our attention at any time.

But unless something new comes up between now and the issuance of ballots, this should be the "final."

We are not aware of any additional changes at this time, so unless an owner makes us aware of another one before the OOI, this is as "final" as it can be.

Every change to any # in the database basically means we have to replace every single number and table in the MDP and ER...that is why it would be helpful to have Garen/Dennis okay these changes before we update the MDP/ER. (We'll need the revised MDP/ER ready to get the OOI scheduled.)

Petitions coming in strongly; I believe we could be 28% or better by the end of today, although Mario's tally may lag mine a little as he has to verify them. In addition, we have a handful that require information, documentation or re-execution to be validated (at least a half-percent that are provisional for now), and quite a few more new ones (including a very large owner) expected soon. The parcel changes I've asked Dennis/Garen to verify also include that large owner, so their review/okay will also help to make sure that those petitions can be verified promptly rather than sit in provisional limbo.

Thanks for your help!

On Fri, Jun 10, 2016 at 1:14 PM, Rita Moreno <rita.moreno@lacity.org> wrote:
 Okay, so this is the "final" one that we need to get back to you on, correct?

On Fri, Jun 10, 2016 at 1:07 PM, Tara Devine <tara@devine-strategies.com> wrote:

This is the same as the last email; it's just a little easier to read/review the changes as they are consolidated.
 (P.S. Tyler is an analyst who does some of the work on my databases. I do some of the work as well.)

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Tara Devine** <tara@devine-strategies.com>
 Date: Thu, Jun 9, 2016 at 6:19 PM
 Subject: Revised Venice Beach BID database
 To: Garen Yegparian <garen.yegparian@lacity.org>, Dennis Rader <Dennis.Rader@lacity.org>

I know I sometimes struggle to keep track of these documents and versions, so just to make it easier, here is the most current version again. As you may recall, Tyler submitted a bunch on changes on 5/23, and I made one more the following day (5/24.)

Tyler's notes on his changes (5/23):

Attached please find an updated database for Venice Beach BID. The changes are reflected in the first tab labeled as VB Assessments-Updated, and the second tab labeled as VB Assessments-Original is there as a reference.

I made changes to the following APNs:

- 4286009091 - Added bldg sf based on assessor's map, but County Assessor's website doesn't have any bldg sf.

- 4286009092 - Added bldg sf based on assessor's map, but County Assessor's website doesn't have any bldg sf.
- 4286012041 - Split the APN to two (4286012044 & 4286012045) and deleted the 041 as County has updated the APN
- 4286028021 - Reduced bldg sf by 100, and reduced frontage to 64 and lot sf to 2979 due to commercial space in the same bldg
- 4286028022 - Reduced frontage to 64 and lot sf to 2979 due to a commercial space in the same bldg
- 4286028023 - Reduced frontage to 64 and lot sf to 2979 due to a commercial space in the same bldg
- 4286028024 - Reduced frontage to 64 and lot sf to 2979 due to a commercial space in the same bldg
- 4286028026 - Reduced frontage to 47 and lot sf to 2598 due to a commercial space in the same bldg
- 4286028027 - Reduced frontage to 47 and lot sf to 2598 due to a commercial space in the same bldg
- 4286028028 - Reduced frontage to 47 and lot sf to 2598 due to a commercial space in the same bldg
- 4286028029 - Reduced frontage to 47 and lot sf to 2598 due to a commercial space in the same bldg
- 4286028030 - Reduced frontage to 47 and lot sf to 2598 due to a commercial space in the same bldg
- 4286028031 - Reduced frontage to 47 and lot sf to 2598 due to a commercial space in the same bldg

The changes will decrease the total assessment by \$4,904.61 which is approximately 0.26% change.

My notes on my change (5/24):

- 4286008001- We have removed 187 from the F-commercial column (AS) as it is duplicative. The correct frontage of 186.82 remains in the F-residential column (AV.) The very first database was correct; we believe that somewhere during review/revisions that the frontage was accidentally added in again in the commercial column, resulting in a double charge for frontage. We reviewed other parcels looking for duplicate frontage; we found the error was isolated to this APN.

This results in that APN's assessment being reduced from \$5859.49 to \$3,150.60 and a corresponding reduction in the total budget.

Total change to budget during petition stage: -\$7,613.50

Warmest regards,

TARA DEVINE
 DEVINE STRATEGIES
 645 West Ninth St.,#110-293
 Los Angeles, CA 90015
 310.430.5121
tara@devine-strategies.com

Making it easier for you with STRATEGIC CONSULTING SERVICES
Planning & Entitlements - Political & Community Outreach - Business Improvement Districts

--
 Rita Moreno
 City of Los Angeles
 Office of the City Clerk
 Neighborhood and Business Improvement District Division
 200 N. Spring Street, 2nd Floor #237
 Los Angeles, CA 90012
 Office (213) 978-1122
 Fax (213) 978-1130

--
 Rita Moreno
 City of Los Angeles
 Office of the City Clerk
 Neighborhood and Business Improvement District Division
 200 N. Spring Street, 2nd Floor #237

12/14/2016

City of Los Angeles Mail - Fwd: Revised Venice Beach BID database

Los Angeles, CA 90012
Office (213) 978-1122
Fax (213) 978-1130

